

# GPT 5.5 Pro

---

Assume, for contradiction, that there is some  $b \neq b_w$  such that

$$(a_w, b) \in W.$$

Let

$$B = \max(b, b_w), \quad C = \min(b, b_w).$$

Then  $B > C$ , so since  $B, C \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$B - C \geq 1.$$

Because both  $(a_w, b_w) \in W$  and  $(a_w, b) \in W$ , we have

$$n \leq a_w C$$

and also

$$a_w \geq rB.$$

Since  $r \geq 1$ , this gives

$$a_w \geq B.$$

Now consider the pair  $(a_w - 1, B)$ . We claim it satisfies the product condition:

$$(a_w - 1)B \geq n.$$

Indeed,

$$(a_w - 1)B = a_w B - B = a_w C + a_w(B - C) - B.$$

Because  $B - C \geq 1$  and  $a_w \geq B$ ,

$$a_w(B - C) - B \geq a_w - B \geq 0.$$

Therefore

$$(a_w - 1)B \geq a_w C \geq n.$$

So  $(a_w - 1, B)$  satisfies  $n \leq (a_w - 1)B$ .

Now, by definition,  $a_w$  is the minimal first coordinate among points in  $W$ . Since  $a_w - 1 < a_w$ , the pair  $(a_w - 1, B)$  cannot be in  $W$ . But it already satisfies the product condition, so the only possible failure of membership in  $W$  is

$$a_w - 1 < rB.$$

Equivalently,

$$rB \geq a_w - 1.$$

Thus  $(a_w - 1, B) \in H$ , because

$$n \leq (a_w - 1)B$$

and

$$rB \geq a_w - 1.$$

Since  $b_h$  is the minimal second coordinate among points of  $H$ , and  $(a_w - 1, B) \in H$ , we get

$$b_h \leq B.$$

Multiplying by  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$rb_h \leq rB.$$

But  $(a_w, B) \in W$ , so

$$a_w \geq rB.$$

Hence

$$rb_h \leq rB \leq a_w.$$

This contradicts the assumption

$$a_w < rb_h.$$

Therefore no such  $b \neq b_w$  exists. So  $b_w$  is the unique  $b$  such that  $(a_w, b) \in W$ .